

HUBBARD COMMUNICATIONS OFFICE  
Saint Hill Manor, East Grinstead, Sussex.  
(Re-issued HCO LA.)

Franchise

HCO BULLETIN OF DECEMBER 14, 1961

Tech

RUDIMENTS MODERNIZED

I have been threatening to give you new rudiments questions for months. I am finally satisfied with their form and use and here they are.

The demands made on an auditing session by the new value and workability of Process Checks (Sec Checking), Problems Intensives and especially 3D have made it necessary to up grade the form and use of rudiments.

For auditing to take place at all, the Pc must be IN SESSION, i.e. willing to talk to the auditor, and interested in own case: the new Rudiment question 'Do you feel willing to talk to me about your case?' can give the auditor an idea as to whether the Pc is likely to go into session or not and can, if any reaction to the question is followed up, indicate whether the Pc is ARC broken or is withholding.

Where an ARC break is found or is stated by the Pc, probably the speediest method of handling is to locate the Prior Confusion to the disagreement - or whatever caused the ARC break - and run a Sec Check form of O/W (without mentioning any term in any way); e.g. clear, by Sec Check type questioning, this question 'During that confusion what did you do wrong?', then - when no longer reacts, 'During that confusion, what did you withhold?'. This brings up the little (and big) overts and withholds that precede ARC breaks AND PTPs and, indeed, this Sec Check type O/W on Prior Confusions can be used on any out Rudiment to which it can be applied. When the meter shows no further reaction to overt or withhold, the Rudiment question is asked again and if a reaction shows, repeat procedure.

Where a Pc is extremely prone to out-Rudiments, lots of Pc's HAVINGNESS process (or TR 10) can help, also an extended run on ARC Process 1961 (HCO B of Nov 30, 1961) run to a motionless Tone Arm for, say, 15-20 minutes. This can be followed by general O/W: 'What have you done?' - 'What have you withheld?' Also self O/W 'What have you to yourself?' - 'What have you withheld from yourself?'

Pre-requisites to all this in the auditor, of course, are technical excellence in TRs, E-Meter reading, and ability to control the Pc with ARC, so that the Pc will assign command value to the auditor.

To maintain Rudiments, auditors must be thoroughly familiar with the following listed HCO Bulletins:

- |                   |   |                                    |
|-------------------|---|------------------------------------|
| November 30, 1961 | - | ARC Processes.                     |
| November 23, 1961 | - | Meter Reading                      |
| November 18, 1961 | - | Security Checking.                 |
| November 2, 1961  | - | The Prior Confusion.               |
| October 19, 1961  | - | Security questions must be nulled. |
| October 9, 1961   | - | Rudiments, change in.              |

There are many more Bulletins, tapes and publications on this subject.

On the actual Rudiments questions, if the rudiments are believed to be out, it should be remembered that each question should be asked in several different ways, to make sure that the question is thoroughly understood, and so that the Pc's reality

continued.....

on the meaning of the question is reached.

It should be remembered that the whole meter can go out if ARC break is present. It alone does not read on the meter (ARC Processes 1961) when very severe.

Any havingness process which loosens the needle can be used to handle any other rudiment.

A rudiment question can get a needle reaction if the Pc is ARC broken about getting on with session. One clears this and asks the question again.

Out rudiments, on assessing for the changes in a problems intensive or 3D can cause everything to nul. The remedy is to get the ruds in and go over the list again with ruds in, at least from the point where ruds went out.

In 3D, the test before running a level or assessing is to repeat a known 3D item that has been found and proved to the pc. If it doesn't react, rudiments are out. Get ruds in until item reacts before continuing assessment or a level.

Out rudiments are the sole cause of difficulty in finding goals and other 3D items. It is a saving of time to run a Pc on Processing Checks, and other preparatory measures for as much as 75 hours before as assessment is done. By that time rudiments can be kept in and needle response should be adequate for assessment.

Rudiments at the beginning of session involve:

1. Setting Goals.
2. Getting Pc comfortable in environment.
3. Getting Pc willing to talk to auditor about Pc's own case.
4. Getting off witholds
5. Checking for and handling PTPs.

The above are the Beginning Rudiments. One humanly detects No. 3. All others are handled by meter only. Excepting No. 3, in rudiments, if the others do not react you do not handle, but get on with session.

The End Rudiments are:

1. Half Truths or Untruths or effort to impress auditor.
2. Any effort to influence E-Meter.
3. Missed answering commands.
4. Missed witholds.
5. ARC break.
6. Havingness.
7. Goals and gains.

Number 5 is humanly detected. The remainder are meter detected only. Number 6 may be used profitably to finish up session time.

In Model Session, the Beginning Rudiments questions should be changed to:

1. 'What goals would you like to set for this session?'  
'Are there any goals you would like to set for Life or Livingness?'
2. 'Look around here and tell me if its all right to audit in this room.'

continued.....

3. 'Are you willing to talk to me about your difficulties?'
4. 'Are you withholding anything?'
5. 'Do you have a present time problem?'

In End Rudiments, the Model Session wording should be changed to:

1. 'Have you told me any half truth, untruth, or said something only to impress me in this session?'
2. 'Have you deliberately tried to influence the E-Meter?'
3. 'Have you failed to answer any question or command I have given you in this session?'
4. 'Have you withheld anything from me?'
5. 'Are you willing to talk to me about your difficulties?'
6. 'Look around here and tell me if you can have anything.'
7. 'Have you made any part of your goals in this session?' And 'Have you made any other gains you would like to mention?'

Rudiments, as in any assessment or Process Check item, are read on INSTANT NEEDLE READS only. Latent reads (taking place after a pause of half a second or more) are not pursued at all, either as Rudiments questions, Processing check questions, Problems Intensive items or 3D assessment items.

(Note: Unapproved meters, many of them, have needle comm-lags built into the 'to protect the meter movement' which is usually poor. The needle acts only after a half of a second or more. Therefore, only 1957 American and British Mark IV meters can be used with confidence in modern auditing. This 'comm lag' may also be true of most 'lie detectors' including some costing \$18,000. The 1957 American was the first fully workable E-Meter. The British Mark IV is its only fully developed successor. The 1958, '59, '60, and '61 'American Hubbard Meters' may or may not work as their manufacturers refused to submit them to be checked out by me and HCO finds many were che: built and do not instant read or read sensitively. Few if any squirrel meters have ever worked to the level of modern demands.)

No assessment has any value if obtained by a faulty meter.

No session, whether Sec Checking (Process Checking), running a Problem Intensive assessing or running 3D has any value if run with the rudiments out.

To make sessions have value, keep the rudiments in.

A rudiment is only run long enough to get it in, which is to say to get the exact rudiment question nul on meter, or in the case of ARC, to get the pc to talk easily to the auditor. Rudiments are not sessions. They are there to make sessions count.

---

LRH:esc:gc  
Copyright (c) 1961  
by L. RON HUBBARD  
ALL RIGHTS RESERVED

L. RON HUBBARD